



## **Template for submitting proposals related to GHG Protocol's *Corporate Standard*, *Scope 2 Guidance*, *Scope 3 Standard*, *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance* and market-based accounting approaches**

(Optional)

### Proposal instructions

GHG Protocol is conducting four related surveys in reference to the following GHG Protocol standards, guidance and topics:

1. Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition, 2004) ("Corporate Standard")
2. Scope 2 Guidance (2015)
3. Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Accounting and Reporting Standard (2011) ("Scope 3 Standard"), and Technical Guidance for Calculating Scope 3 Emissions, version 1.0, 2013 ("Scope 3 Calculation Guidance")
4. Market-based accounting approaches

**The survey is open until March 14, 2023.** To fill out the survey, [click here](#).

As part of the survey process, respondents may provide proposals for potential updates, amendments, or additional guidance to the *Corporate Standard*, *Scope 2 Guidance*, *Scope 3 Standard*, or *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance*, by providing the information requested in this template. You may also use this template to provide justification for maintaining a current approach on a given topic.

Submitting proposals is optional. Respondents may submit multiple proposals related to different topics.

Proposals should be as concise as possible while providing the requested information. Submissions that are outside of the template may not be considered. Proposals may be made publicly available.

To submit the proposal, please save this file and fill out the fields below. When you've completed your proposal, please upload the file via this [online folder](#). Please name your file STANDARD\_Proposal\_AFFILIATION, e.g., *Scope 2\_Proposal\_WRI*.

## Proposal and supporting information

- 1. Which standard or guidance does the proposal relate to (Corporate Standard, Scope 2 Guidance, Scope 3 Standard, Scope 3 Calculation Guidance, general/cross-cutting, market-based accounting approaches, or other)? If other, please specify.**

Refers to Corporate and Scope 3 accounting and reporting standard

- 2. What is the GHG accounting and reporting topic the proposal seeks to address?**

Scope 3 accounting

**3. What is the potential problem(s) or limitation(s) of the current standard or guidance which necessitates this proposal?**

- 1) Doesn't provide details in double counting of Scope 3 emissions
- 2) Scope 3 is optional
- 3) Biogenic carbon accounting
- 4) Base year – not clearly explaining whether base year of companies or base year of the raw material sourced company
- 5) Data modelling assurance

**4. Describe the proposed change(s) or additional guidance.**

- 1) Having a universal bank for accounting can avoid double counting
- 2) Scope 3 should be made required especially for companies that depend on majority of their operations on third party for raw material sourcing
- 3) Clear distinction of biogenic carbon vs non-biogenic methodology can help make the calculations more precise
- 4) The base year methodology (like what is in the document) should have clear rules on whether the calculation should be based since the company's establishment/ 1990(as per Kyoto Protocol) or based on the raw materials sourcing organization's establishment
- 5) Data modelling should be standardized to assure an universal reporting standard

**5. Please explain how the proposal aligns with the GHG Protocol decision-making criteria and hierarchy (A, B, C, D below), while providing justification/evidence where possible.**

**A. GHG Protocol accounting and reporting approaches shall meet the GHG Protocol accounting and reporting principles (see Annex for definitions):**

- Accuracy, Completeness, Consistency, Relevance, Transparency
- Additional principles for land sector activities and CO<sub>2</sub> removals: Conservativeness, Permanence, and Comparability if relevant

Proposal aligns with the Accuracy, Completeness, Consistency, Relevance and transparency for accounting and reporting principles

**B. GHG Protocol accounting and reporting approaches shall align with the latest climate science and global climate goals (i.e., keeping global warming below 1.5°C). To support this objective (non-exhaustive list):**

- Direct emissions reported in a company's inventory should correspond to emissions to the atmosphere. Reductions in direct emissions reported in a company's inventory should correspond to reductions in emissions to the atmosphere.
- Indirect emissions reported in a company's inventory should in the aggregate correspond to emissions to the atmosphere. Reductions in indirect emissions reported in a company's inventory should in the aggregate correspond to reductions in emissions to the atmosphere.

In favor of both the points

**C. GHG Protocol accounting frameworks should support ambitious climate goals and actions in the private and public sector.**

- Would this proposal enable organizations to pursue more effective GHG mitigation/decarbonization efforts as compared to the existing standards and guidance? If so, how?
- Would this proposal better inform decision making by reporting organizations and their stakeholders (e.g. related to climate-related financial risks and other relevant information associated with GHG emissions reporting)?

This would provide better inform decisions- however a webinar would help more to further understand the accounting frameworks

**D. GHG Protocol accounting frameworks which meet the above criteria should be feasible. (For aspects of accounting frameworks that meet the above criteria but are difficult to implement, GHG Protocol should provide additional guidance and tools to support implementation.)**

- What specific information, data or calculation methods are required to implement this proposal (e.g., in the case of scope 2, data granularity, grid data, consumption data, emission information, etc.)? Would new data/methods be needed? Are current data/methods available? How would this be implemented in practice?
- Would this proposal accommodate and be accessible to all organizations globally who seek to account for and report their GHG emissions? Are there potential challenges

which would need to be further addressed to implement this proposal globally? What would be the potential solutions?

If the proposal can further breakdown to showcase several examples showing textile to food industry – that can help understand the emissions factor better

- 6. Consistent with the hierarchy provided above, are there potential drawbacks or challenges to adopting this proposal? If so, what are they?**

Potential challenge - Requires more simplistic description on accounting.

- 7. Would the proposal improve alignment with other climate disclosure rules, programs and initiatives or lead to lack of alignment? Please describe.**

It will help improve alignment with other programs as the proposal creates a baseline on having a universal reporting framework.

- 8. Please attach or reference supporting evidence, research, analysis, or other information to support the proposal, including any active research or ongoing evaluations. If relevant, please also explain how the effectiveness of the proposal can be evaluated and tracked over time.**

- 9. If applicable, describe the process or stakeholders/groups consulted as part of developing this proposal.**

- 1) National Cotton Council of America
- 2) Cotton Council International
- 3) U.S. Cotton Trust Protocol

**10. If applicable, provide any additional information not covered in the questions above.**

## Proposal Annex

### GHG Protocol Decision-Making Criteria and Hierarchy

**A. First, GHG Protocol accounting and reporting approaches shall meet the GHG Protocol accounting and reporting principles:**

- Accuracy, Completeness, Consistency, Relevance, Transparency
- Additional principles for land sector activities and CO<sub>2</sub> removals: Conservativeness, Permanence, and Comparability if relevant
- (See table below for definitions)

**B. Second, GHG Protocol accounting and reporting approaches shall align with the latest climate science and global climate goals (i.e., keeping global warming below 1.5°C). To support this objective (non-exhaustive list):**

- Direct emissions reported in a company's inventory should correspond to emissions to the atmosphere. Reductions in direct emissions reported in a company's inventory should correspond to reductions in emissions to the atmosphere.
- Indirect emissions reported in a company's inventory should in the aggregate correspond to emissions to the atmosphere. Reductions in indirect emissions reported in a company's inventory should in the aggregate correspond to reductions in emissions to the atmosphere.

**C. Third, GHG Protocol accounting frameworks should support ambitious climate goals and actions in the private and public sector:**

- Accounting framework/s would enable organizations to pursue more effective GHG mitigation/decarbonization efforts as compared to the existing standards and guidance
- Accounting framework/s would better inform decision making by reporting organizations and their stakeholders (e.g. related to climate-related financial risks and other relevant information associated with GHG emissions reporting)

**D. Fourth, GHG Protocol accounting frameworks which meet the above criteria should be feasible to implement for the users of the frameworks.**

- For aspects of accounting frameworks that meet the above criteria but are difficult to implement, GHG Protocol should provide additional guidance and tools to support implementation.

### GHG Protocol Accounting and Reporting Principles

Principle	Definition
<b>Accuracy</b>	Ensure that the quantification of GHG emissions (and removals, if applicable) is systematically neither over nor under actual emissions (and removals, if applicable), and that uncertainties are reduced as far as practicable. Achieve sufficient accuracy to enable users to make decisions with reasonable assurance as to the integrity of the reported information.
<b>Completeness</b>	Account for and report on all GHG emissions (and removals, if applicable) from sources, sinks, and activities within the inventory boundary. Disclose and justify any specific exclusions.

<b>Consistency</b>	Use consistent methodologies to allow for meaningful performance tracking of emissions (and removals, if applicable) over time and between companies. Transparently document any changes to the data, inventory boundary, methods, or any other relevant factors in the time series.
<b>Relevance</b>	Ensure the GHG inventory appropriately reflects the GHG emissions (and removals, if applicable) of the company and serves the decision-making needs of users – both internal and external to the company.
<b>Transparency</b>	Address all relevant issues in a factual and coherent manner, based on a clear audit trail. Disclose any relevant assumptions and make appropriate references to the accounting and calculation methodologies and data sources used.
<b>Conservativeness</b> (Land Sector and Removals Guidance)	Use conservative assumptions, values, and procedures when uncertainty is high. Conservative values and assumptions are those that are more likely to overestimate GHG emissions and underestimate removals, rather than underestimate emissions and overestimate removals.
<b>Permanence</b> (Land Sector and Removals Guidance)	Ensure mechanisms are in place to monitor the continued storage of reported removals, account for reversals, and report emissions from associated carbon pools.
<b>Comparability (optional)</b> (Land Sector and Removals Guidance)	Apply common methodologies, data sources, assumptions, and reporting formats such that the reported GHG inventories from multiple companies can be compared.