





Template for submitting proposals related to GHG Protocol's Corporate Standard, Scope 2 Guidance, Scope 3 Standard, Scope 3 Calculation Guidance and market-based accounting approaches

(Optional)

Proposal instructions

GHG Protocol is conducting four related surveys in reference to the following GHG Protocol standards, guidance and topics:

- 1. Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition, 2004) ("Corporate Standard")
- 2. Scope 2 Guidance (2015)
- Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Accounting and Reporting Standard (2011) ("Scope 3
 Standard"), and Technical Guidance for Calculating Scope 3 Emissions, version 1.0, 2013 ("Scope
 3 Calculation Guidance")
- 4. Market-based accounting approaches

The survey is open until March 14, 2023. To fill out the survey, <u>click here</u>.

As part of the survey process, respondents may provide proposals for potential updates, amendments, or additional guidance to the *Corporate Standard, Scope 2 Guidance, Scope 3 Standard, or Scope 3 Calculation Guidance,* by providing the information requested in this template. You may also use this template to provide justification for maintaining a current approach on a given topic.

Submitting proposals is optional. Respondents may submit multiple proposals related to different topics.

Proposals should be as concise as possible while providing the requested information. Submissions that are outside of the template may not be considered. Proposals may be made publicly available.

To submit the proposal, please save this file and fill out the fields below. When you've completed your proposal, please upload the file via this <u>online folder</u>. Please name your file STANDARD Proposal AFFILIATION, e.g., *Scope 2 Proposal WRI*.

Respondent information

Name
Mr. Aya Yamazaki
Organization
JGC Holdings
Email address
yamazaki.aya@jgc.com
If proposals are made publicly available, would you like your proposal to be made publicly available? Please write either "Yes" (make publicly available) or "No" (do not make publicly available).
Yes
If your proposal is made publicly available, would you like it to be made publicly available with attribution (with your name and organization provided) or anonymous (without any name or organization provided)? Please write either "With attribution" or "Anonymous".
With attribution
Proposal and supporting information
 Which standard or guidance does the proposal relate to (Corporate Standard, Scope 2 Guidance, Scope 3 Standard, Scope 3 Calculation Guidance, general/cross-cutting, market-based accounting approaches, or other)? If other, please specify.
Corporate Standard and Scope 2 Guidance

2. What is the GHG accounting and reporting topic the proposal seeks to address?

Non admission of offsetting by carbon credits of emissions in the calculation of Scope 1 and Scope 2 inventories, *i.e.* the requirement for the Scope 1/2 inventories to be gross emissions, not net of the offsetting.

3. What is the potential problem(s) or limitation(s) of the current standard or guidance which necessitates this proposal?

The exclusion of offsetting reduces most probably the price of carbon credits, so that various effective emissions reductions projects with carbon credits as their principal revenue would become financially non-viable. This means that carbon trade, which is theoretically efficient way to global emissions with least cost, is hampered.

This problem becomes all the more serious, since the draft ISSB guidelines (to be incorporated in the formal disclosure requirements of each jurisdiction) designates GHG Protocol as the sole standard for the inventory.

Please note that offsetting and wide use of credits are indispensable in the energy transition. For example, as for CCS projects, those entities sequestrating and those emitting are normally not identical, hence the need for credits to be used for offsetting purpose of the emitters.

4. Describe the proposed change(s) or additional guidance.

To change the requirement for Scope 1/Scope 2 calculation to allow inventories net of credits; one of the ways to this effect is to add "net" item to both Scope 1 and Scope 2 and treat "gross" and "net" as with equal reporting value.

Please note that the optional reporting status given to offsets is no more appropriate, since the net inventory as calculated using it is most likely regarded by stakeholders, financial stakeholders in particular, as of less importance, by misunderstanding or negligence.

At least, the "compliant" credits, *i.e.* those credits founded on the Paris Agreement with appropriate Corresponding Adjustment should be allowed to be used for the offsetting, since they are applicable for the NDC counting of the countries concerned.

- 5. Please explain how the proposal aligns with the GHG Protocol decision-making criteria and hierarchy (A, B, C, D below), while providing justification/evidence where possible.
 - A. GHG Protocol accounting and reporting approaches shall meet the GHG Protocol accounting and reporting principles (see Annex for definitions):

- Accuracy, Completeness, Consistency, Relevance, Transparency
- Additional principles for land sector activities and CO₂ removals: Conservativeness, Permanence, and Comparability if relevant

At least, the "compliant" credits are accredited by strict process and criteria in accordance with the Paris Agreement, therefore the credits are meeting all the principles as mentioned.

Some of other types of credits may comply with the principles, pending on the process/criteria they follow.

- B. GHG Protocol accounting and reporting approaches shall align with the latest climate science and global climate goals (i.e., keeping global warming below 1.5°C). To support this objective (non-exhaustive list):
 - Direct emissions reported in a company's inventory should correspond to emissions to the atmosphere. Reductions in direct emissions reported in a company's inventory should correspond to reductions in emissions to the atmosphere.
 - Indirect emissions reported in a company's inventory should in the aggregate correspond to emissions to the atmosphere. Reductions in indirect emissions reported in a company's inventory should in the aggregate correspond to reductions in emissions to the atmosphere.

As stated above, the credits proposed for the offsetting are in accordance with the Paris Agreement.	

- C. GHG Protocol accounting frameworks should support ambitious climate goals and actions in the private and public sector.
 - Would this proposal enable organizations to pursue more effective GHG mitigation/decarbonization efforts as compared to the existing standards and guidance? If so, how?
 - Would this proposal better inform decision making by reporting organizations and their stakeholders (e.g. related to climate-related financial risks and other relevant information associated with GHG emissions reporting)?

Ambitiousness is one of the criteria to be met by the credits proposed.	

D. GHG Protocol accounting frameworks which meet the above criteria should be feasible. (For aspects of accounting frameworks that meet the above criteria but are difficult to implement, GHG Protocol should provide additional guidance and tools to support implementation.)

- What specific information, data or calculation methods are required to implement this proposal (e.g., in the case of scope 2, data granularity, grid data, consumption data, emission information, etc.)? Would new data/methods be needed? Are current data/methods available? How would this be implemented in practice?
- Would this proposal accommodate and be accessible to all organizations globally who seek to account for and report their GHG emissions? Are there potential challenges which would need to be further addressed to implement this proposal globally? What would be the potential solutions?

There should be no obstacles and limits as mentioned in the question.
6. Consistent with the hierarchy provided above, are there potential drawbacks or challenges to adopting this proposal? If so, what are they?
None.
7. Would the proposal improve alignment with other climate disclosure rules, programs and initiatives or lead to lack of alignment? Please describe.
All other rules, programs and initiatives should align with this proposal at least In terms of their flexibility.
8. Please attach or reference supporting evidence, research, analysis, or other information to support the proposal, including any active research or ongoing evaluations. If relevant, please als explain how the effectiveness of the proposal can be evaluated and tracked over time.
Not applicable.

 If applicable, describe the process or stakeholders/groups consulted as part of developing this proposal. 		
Not applicable.		
10. If applicable, provide any additional information not covered in the questions above.		
Not applicable.		

Proposal Annex

GHG Protocol Decision-Making Criteria and Hierarchy

- A. First, GHG Protocol accounting and reporting approaches shall meet the GHG Protocol accounting and reporting principles:
 - Accuracy, Completeness, Consistency, Relevance, Transparency
 - Additional principles for land sector activities and CO₂ removals: Conservativeness,
 Permanence, and Comparability if relevant
 - (See table below for definitions)
- B. Second, GHG Protocol accounting and reporting approaches shall align with the latest climate science and global climate goals (i.e., keeping global warming below 1.5°C). To support this objective (non-exhaustive list):
 - Direct emissions reported in a company's inventory should correspond to emissions to the atmosphere. Reductions in direct emissions reported in a company's inventory should correspond to reductions in emissions to the atmosphere.
 - Indirect emissions reported in a company's inventory should in the aggregate correspond to
 emissions to the atmosphere. Reductions in indirect emissions reported in a company's
 inventory should in the aggregate correspond to reductions in emissions to the atmosphere.
- C. Third, GHG Protocol accounting frameworks should support ambitious climate goals and actions in the private and public sector:
 - Accounting framework/s would enable organizations to pursue more effective GHG mitigation/decarbonization efforts as compared to the existing standards and guidance
 - Accounting framework/s would better inform decision making by reporting organizations and their stakeholders (e.g. related to climate-related financial risks and other relevant information associated with GHG emissions reporting)
- D. Fourth, GHG Protocol accounting frameworks which meet the above criteria should be feasible to implement for the users of the frameworks.
 - For aspects of accounting frameworks that meet the above criteria but are difficult to implement, GHG Protocol should provide additional guidance and tools to support implementation.

GHG Protocol Accounting and Reporting Principles

Principle	Definition
Accuracy	Ensure that the quantification of GHG emissions (and removals, if applicable) is systematically neither over nor under actual emissions (and removals, if applicable), and that uncertainties are reduced as far as practicable. Achieve sufficient accuracy to enable users to make decisions with reasonable assurance as to the integrity of the reported information.
Completeness	Account for and report on all GHG emissions (and removals, if applicable) from sources, sinks, and activities within the inventory boundary. Disclose and justify any specific exclusions.

Consistency	Use consistent methodologies to allow for meaningful performance tracking of emissions (and removals, if applicable) over time and between companies. Transparently document any changes to the data, inventory boundary, methods, or any other relevant factors in the time series.
Relevance	Ensure the GHG inventory appropriately reflects the GHG emissions (and removals, if applicable) of the company and serves the decision-making needs of users – both internal and external to the company.
Transparency	Address all relevant issues in a factual and coherent manner, based on a clear audit trail. Disclose any relevant assumptions and make appropriate references to the accounting and calculation methodologies and data sources used.
Conservativeness (Land Sector and Removals Guidance)	Use conservative assumptions, values, and procedures when uncertainty is high. Conservative values and assumptions are those that are more likely to overestimate GHG emissions and underestimate removals, rather than underestimate emissions and overestimate removals.
Permanence (Land Sector and Removals Guidance)	Ensure mechanisms are in place to monitor the continued storage of reported removals, account for reversals, and report emissions from associated carbon pools.
Comparability (optional) (Land Sector and Removals Guidance)	Apply common methodologies, data sources, assumptions, and reporting formats such that the reported GHG inventories from multiple companies can be compared.