

Scope 2 TWG Meeting Minutes

Meeting number 6

Date: 16 January 2025

Time: 17:00 – 19:00 EST

Location: "Virtual" via Zoom

Attendees

Technical Working Group Members

1. Simone Accornero, Flexidao
2. Enam Akoetey-Eyiah, I-TRACK Standard Foundation
3. Avi Allison, Microsoft
4. Priya Barua, Clean Energy Buyers Alliance
5. Matthew Brander, The University of Edinburgh
6. Charles Cannon, RMI
7. Yenhaw Chen, Taiwan Institute of Economic Research
8. Jessica Cohen, Constellation Energy Corporation
9. James Critchfield, EPA
10. Killian Daly, EnergyTag
11. Abhilash Desu, Science Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)
12. Stuti Dubey, D-REC Foundation
13. Penfei Fan, China Electric Power Planning & Engineering Institute (EPPEI)
14. Neil Fisher, The NorthBridge Group
15. Aileen Garnett, Genesis Energy Limited
16. Andrew Glumac, CDP
17. Svend Brun Fjendbo Hansen, Ørsted
18. Peggy Kellen, Center for Resource Solutions
19. Emma Konet, Tierra Climate
20. Matthew Konieczny, Watershed
21. Holly Lahd, Center for Green Market Activation
22. Stephen Lamm, Bloom Energy
23. Erik Landry, GRESB
24. Lissy Langer, Technical University of Denmark (DTU)
25. Irina Lazzarini, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
26. Kelly Lichter, PepsiCo
27. J. Andrea Méndez Velásquez, Atmosphere Alternative
28. Gregory Miller, Singularity Energy
29. Alex Perera, WRI
30. Yiwon Qiu, Independent
31. Henry Richardson, WattTime
32. Wilson Ricks, Princeton University
33. Alexandra Styles, HIR Hamburg Institut Research
34. Devon Swezey, Google
35. Kae Takase, Renewable Energy Institute
36. Linda Wamune, Energy Peace Partners
37. Sophia Wang, Gilead Sciences

Guests

None present

GHG Protocol Secretariat

1. Kyla Aiuto
2. Elliott Engelmenn
3. Chelsea Gillis
4. Michael Macrae
5. Michaela Wagar

Documents referenced

1. Standard Development Plan
2. Miro Board

Item	Topic and Summary	Outcomes
1	<i>Welcome</i> The Secretariat welcomed attendees, reviewed the agenda, and addressed meeting logistics.	N/A
2	<i>Goals of meeting</i> The Secretariat clarified the meeting goals, including announcing the launch of a subgroup on consequential measures, an update on timelines, and a whiteboarding session on purposes of the location- and market-based methods.	N/A
3	<i>Formation of subgroup on consequential electric sector emissions impact measures</i> The Secretariat announced the formation of a subgroup on consequential electric sector emissions impact measures and requested working group members to reach out for interest in participation.	Members are requested to reach out to the Secretariat to express interest in joining the subgroup.
4	<i>Timeline, process, & key milestone targets</i> The Secretariat reviewed the updated scope 2 working group timeline.	N/A
5	<i>Plan for moving to detailed revisions</i> The Secretariat described the process for moving from high level proposals to detailed revisions and reviewed ongoing interactions with the Independent Standards Board (ISB).	N/A
6	<i>Aligning on purposes, uses, and claims of each scope 2 method</i> The Secretariat facilitated a whiteboarding session on purposes for the location- and market-based methods. Working group members suggested purposes and expressed alignment with or disagreement on purposes proposed.	N/A
7	<i>Next steps</i> The Secretariat concluded the discussion with a reminder on the due dates of market-based revision first drafts, as well as a reminder of the next meeting date on January 29 th , 2025.	N/A

Summary of discussion and outcomes

1. Welcome

- The Secretariat welcomed attendees, reviewed logistics, and confirmed that minutes and resources would be shared post-call.

Summary of discussion

N/A

Outcomes (e.g. recommendations, options)

N/A

2. Goals of meeting

Summary of discussion

- The Secretariat clarified the meeting goals: launch of subgroup on consequential electric sector emission impact measures, and collection of ideas on purposes uses and claims on the location- and market-based methods.

Outcomes (e.g. recommendations, options)

N/A

3. Formation of subgroup on consequential electric sector emissions impact measures

Summary of discussion

- The Secretariat described the purpose of the subgroup to develop recommendations for the Actions and Market Instruments Technical Working Group.
- The subgroup will be led by the Scope 2 Secretariat, with close alignment with the Actions and Market Instruments (AMI) Secretariat.
- Michaela Wagar was introduced as the lead of the AMI Secretariat.
- Details of the subgroup's scope of work will be further discussed during the first meeting.
- A member asked for clarification about whether they should join the group if they're interested in updating the GHG Protocol's *Project Accounting Protocol*. The Secretariat clarified that comprehensive updates to the *Project Accounting Protocol* are outside of the scope of work which is included in updating the GHG Protocol corporate suite of standards and guidance.

Outcomes (e.g. recommendations, options)

- Members interested in joining the subgroup are requested to email the Scope 2 Secretariat.
- Members can email Michaela Wagar if they have questions about the AMI Technical Working Group.

4. Timeline, process, & key milestone targets

Summary of discussion

- The Secretariat outlined the timeline for the Scope 2 TWG which had been updated since the members saw a draft version.
- The focus for members at the moment is developing a draft of key requirements to go out for public consultation by Q4 this year. This will allow the group to look at the public consultation feedback and provide revised guidance on the text to be available publicly in Q2 2026.
- The Secretariat clarified that the ISB will help ensure alignment to cross-cutting issues.
- The Secretariat clarified that the public consultation period will last for 60 days.

Outcomes (e.g. recommendations, options)

N/A

5. Plan for moving to detailed revisions

Summary of discussion

- The Secretariat noted that the focus of today's meeting is in response to feedback from members' collective desire to align on purposes.
- The Secretariat described the iterative process that the TWG will use over the coming months and years whereby the working group develops a recommendation, the Secretariat presents it to ISB, ISB respond with their feedback and the working group refines their recommendation.

Outcomes (e.g. recommendations, options)

N/A

6. Aligning on purposes, uses, and claims of each scope 2 method

Summary of discussion

- The Secretariat presented the final GHG Protocol vision and mission which are now publicly available.
- The Secretariat outlined the process of using table 4.1 from the Scope 2 Guidance as the format for presenting a recommendation of the purposes, uses, and claims of the reporting methods to ISB.
- This would involve expanding the row on 'most useful for showing' into purposes, uses, and claims.
- The table may also be expanded to include the same fields for electric sector emissions impact measures, however the subgroup focusing on this topic is tasked with providing a recommendation to the AMI TWG on any possible new column or clarifications to the table.
- The Secretariat reiterated that whilst this exercise discusses the location-based method, market-based method and electric sector emissions impact measures, this is just being used as a first step for consideration. No decisions have been made about which methods will be presented to ISB as recommendations for the final scope 2 revisions.
- The Secretariat facilitated a whiteboarding session for members of the working group to propose and revise purposes of the location-based and market-based methods.
- Members of the working group indicated initial support or disagreement with purposes proposed for each scope 2 method, and the Secretariat facilitated a discussion on areas of agreement and disagreement.
- Purposes for both methods were ranked based on areas of most to least support.

Outcomes (e.g. recommendations, options)

- The Secretariat will share summaries of these alignment exercises in forthcoming TWG meeting presentation materials on the location- and market-based methods.

7. Next steps

Summary of discussion

- The Secretariat reviewed next steps for the following two TWG meetings, which include reviews of the first drafts of proposed revisions to the location-based and market-based methods.
- The Secretariat suggested that all working group members review revision content submitted by working group members prior to the meetings on the location-based and market-based methods.

Outcomes (e.g. recommendations, options)

N/A

Summary of written submissions received prior to meeting

N/A