

Scope 2 Consequential Subgroup

Meeting #4









This meeting is recorded.



Please use the Raise Hand function to speak during the call.



You can also use the chat function in the main control.



Recording, slides, and meeting minutes will be shared after the call.



Be mindful of sharing group discussion time; keep comments as succinct as possible.





- 1. Housekeeping and goals
- 2. Review of final draft submissions
- 3. Discussion
- 4. Part 2 deliverable plan
- 5. Next steps





GREENHOUSE GAS PROTOCOL





Goals of today's meeting









Housekeeping and goals

- Goals of today's meeting
 - Review final submissions and discuss part 1 deliverables
 - Review outstanding issues and schedule for part 2 deliverables



Final Draft Submissions



Proposal 1: Marginal Emissions Impact

Key elements

- Induced Consumption¹ Emissions (IC): emissions caused by a company's demand for electricity
 total consumption * marginal emissions factors (MEF)
- Avoided Emissions (AE): an assessment of emissions avoided caused by procured renewable energy
 total generation * marginal emissions factors (MEF)
- Net Impact (absolute and % basis): derivative metric from above
- Supporting Information: basis for additionality/causality claim for AE values; identification of emission rates used, qualitative impact assessment where applicable

Scope of assessment

- All electricity consumption occurring during reporting period
- Electricity generation during reporting period from all active generation and storage projects that meet (TBD) additionality criteria
- Geographic boundary of assessment global (may do more local procurement)
- Limited to primary electric-sector impacts, including build and operating margin effects; separate calculation of upstream impacts (e.g., scope 3 category 3).







Proposal 1: Marginal Emissions Impact

| Carbon Disclosures (Illustrative) Reported for Prior Calendar Year | | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|--|
| Scope 2 | | | | |
| Location-Based Inventory | tons | | | |
| Market-Based Inventory | tons | | | |
| Marginal Emissions Impact (MEI)* | | | | |
| Induced Consumption: Benchmark* (IC) | tons | | | |
| Procurement: Avoided Emissions* (AE) tons | | | | |
| Net Impact (absolute and % basis) | tons (%) | | | |

Note: Required reporting of metrics and level of granularity would depend on data availability, thresholds/exemptions, and transition periods adopted.





Proposal 2: Ad-hoc Consequential Guidance

This proposal is complementary to the *Routine Marginal Impact* proposal and is NOT an alternative to that proposal.

Elements of the ad hoc consequential emissions statement

- Baseline scenario emissions/removals for Action A
- Intervention scenario emissions/removals for Action A
- System-wide change in emissions/removals caused by Action A
- o Etc...

Scope of assessment

- Recommended or required: All actions that could have a potentially significant negative impacts (i.e., increase GHG emissions and/or decrease removals) outside the scope 1, 2 and 3 boundary
- Recommended: all actions that could have a potentially significant positive impacts on emissions/removals inside or outside the scope 1, 2 and 3 boundary
- Geographic boundary of assessment: all significant sources and sinks that change due to the action assessed







Proposal 2: Ad-hoc Consequential Guidance

| Description of action | Baseline Scenario (tCO₂e) | Intervention Scenario (tCO₂e) | Total Change (tCO₂e) |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Long-term PPA with 10 MW wind farm | 524,000 | 5,000 | 519,000 |
| Engagement with policy-makers on market accessibility in jurisdiction X | Etc. | | |





Proposal 3: Routine Consequential Accounting

Elements of the consequential emissions statement

- Total emissions induced/avoided by changes in electricity demand
- Total emissions induced/avoided by changes in electricity procurement
- Impact Score (performance metric) Consequential emissions intensity (lb/MWh) of all changes,
 relative to highest possible global impact (displacing generation from dirtiest global generation)

Scope of assessment

- Scope of projects/activities assessed in the emissions statement: all changes in demand and procurement relative to some baseline, without identification of individual actions (e.g. difference between reporting year total demand and base year total demand on YoY, rolling average, or baseline year basis)
- Temporal boundary of assessment: previous year (retrospective)
- Geographic boundary of assessment: global







Proposal 3: Routine Consequential Accounting

Positive values = induced emissions Negative values = avoided emissions

| | Net Change in MWh | Net Emissions Impact | Impact intensity |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Demand-side changes | +45 MWh | +42,917 lb CO2 | +953 lb/MWh |
| Supply-side changes | 200 MWh | -446,200 lb CO2 | -2,231 lb/MWh |
| Total Change | | -403,283 lb CO2 | -1,646 lb/MWh |
| Impact Score (-100 to +100) | | | +45.7 |





Discussion

- Please indicate any remaining areas of concern regarding any of the proposals under consideration
- Please propose any revisions to language that would help you support any of the proposals under consideration
- **Note:** we are mainly concerned with the elements of the disclosure, rather than the calculation details at this stage



Part 2 Deliverable Plan



Key issues identified for part 2 deliverable

- **Issue 1:** Calculation method and approach
- **Issue 2:** Boundaries
- **Issue 3:** Treatment/definition of additionality
- **Issue 4:** Purposes and uses of data
- Issue 5: Temporal and geographic granularity
- **Issue 6:** Emission factors and data types
- **Issue 7:** Feasibility (thresholds, exemptions, etc.)
- **Issue 8:** Worked examples and case studies
- **Issue 9:** Cross-sector applicability







Key issues identified for part 2 deliverable

- **Issue 1:** Calculation method and approach
- **Issue 2:** Boundaries
- **Issue 3:** Treatment/definition of additionality
- **Issue 4:** Purposes and uses of data
- **Issue 5:** Temporal and geographic granularity
- **Issue 6:** Emission factors and data types
- **Issue 7:** Feasibility
- **Issue 8:** Worked examples and case studies
- **Issue 9:** Cross-sector applicability













Detailed list of due dates

April 21st – draft of detailed proposals on issues 1-4

May 1st – subgroup meeting #5

May 12th – draft of detailed proposals on issues 5-7

May 22nd – subgroup meeting #6

June 2nd – draft of detailed proposals on issues 8-9

June 12th – subgroup meeting #7





Next Steps



Next Steps

- **April 21**st first draft of detailed proposal on issues 1-4
 - Calculation methods and approach
 - Boundaries
 - Treatment/definition of additionality
 - Purposes and uses of data

May 1st meeting

- Members should be prepared to discuss issues 1-4 and recommend changes and edits to language submitted by members of the subgroup
- We do not intend to use polling, but discussion should inform further development of proposals





Thank you!

If you'd like to stay updated on our work, please <u>subscribe</u> to GHG Protocol's email list to receive our monthly newsletter and other updates.

