# Greenhouse Gas Protocol

# Survey on Need and Scope for Updates or Additional Guidance

Scope 3 Standard and Scope 3 Calculation Guidance Survey Memo

This survey pertains to the GHG Protocol *Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard* and *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance*.

Please refer to the survey process memo for information about the purpose, process, and timeline for the survey, available here.

In addition to providing survey responses, stakeholders may submit a proposal(s) for updates or additional guidance to GHG Protocol standards or guidance by following the instructions in the proposal template available here.

Below is the list of questions that are included in the online survey form, as well as any background information.

# 1 Feedback form questions

## Data and privacy acknowledgement

1. In order to proceed to the survey, please click yes below to acknowledge that you have reviewed the information in the Process Memo and Scope 3 Survey Memo and that you consent to the data disclosure agreements outlined in the Process Memo.
   • Yes
   • No

## Respondent information

2. Name

3. Organization

4. Country

5. Email address

6. Would you like to receive email updates from GHG Protocol?
   • Yes
   • No

7. Does your company/organization have a greenhouse gas inventory?
   • Yes
   • No
   • Other (please specify)

8. Are you involved in developing your company's/organization's greenhouse gas inventory?
   • Yes
   • No
   • Not applicable
   • Other (please specify)

9. What is your organization type?
   • Academia/research
   • Company
   • Consultant supporting organizations with GHG inventories/strategies
   • GHG reporting program or initiative
   • Government institution
   • International agency
   • Industry group
   • Non-profit organization/NGO/civil society
   • Provider of data or product related to GHG inventories
   • Other (please specify)

10. What is your company's sector? [Dropdown menu/multiple choice of options]
   • Agriculture
   • Apparel
   • Biotech, health care and pharmaceutical
   • Chemicals
   • Construction
   • Consumer goods
   • Education
   • Energy

- Finance
- Food and beverage
- Forest products
- Forestry
- Fossil fuels
- Hospitality
- Information and communication technology
- Infrastructure
- Insurance
- Manufacturing
- Materials
- Mining
- Power generation
- Professional, scientific, and technical services
- Real estate
- Retail
- Services
- Transportation
- Utilities (water, gas, electricity)
- Waste management
- Other (please specify)

## Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

11. Does your company/organization use the Greenhouse Gas Protocol *Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard* to develop its greenhouse gas inventory?
    - Yes
    - No
    - Not sure
    - Not applicable (my company/organization does not have a greenhouse gas inventory)
    - Other (please specify)

12. How satisfied are you with the current GHG Protocol *Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*?
    - 1 - Very satisfied
    - 2 - Somewhat satisfied
    - 3 - Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
    - 4 - Somewhat dissatisfied
    - 5 - Very dissatisfied
    - Not applicable (I don't use it)

13. Do you think there is a need to update the GHG Protocol *Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*?
    - No (no update needed)
    - Minor update (limited updates, clarifications, additional guidance, or refresh needed)
    - Major update (major changes or revisions needed)
    - No opinion/Not sure

14. Please explain your selection. You may enter brief comments here or submit a more detailed proposal using the proposal template.

15. Does your company/organization or industry face any specific challenges in complying with the GHG Protocol *Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard* requirements and guidance? If yes, please describe each challenge and the solutions you would propose for addressing the challenge. You may enter brief comments here or submit a more detailed proposal using the proposal template.

16. Does your company/organization or industry require additional industry-specific guidance for developing a scope 3 GHG inventory? If yes, please describe what is needed.

17. Do you propose revisiting or making any changes or clarifications to the current requirements and guidance in the *Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard*?
    - Yes
    - No
    - No opinion/Not sure


18. If yes, please explain the proposed change(s). You may enter brief comments here or submit a more detailed proposal using the proposal template, including which scope 3 category or categories the comment or proposal pertains to.

## Scope 3 Calculation Guidance

19. Does your company/organization use the Greenhouse Gas Protocol *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance* to develop its greenhouse gas inventory?
    - Yes
    - No
    - Not sure
    - Not applicable (my company/organization does not have a greenhouse gas inventory)
    - Other (please specify)


20. How satisfied are you with the current GHG Protocol *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance*?
    - 1 - Very satisfied
    - 2 - Somewhat satisfied
    - 3 - Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
    - 4 - Somewhat dissatisfied
    - 5 - Very dissatisfied
    - Not applicable (I don't use it)


21. Do you think there is a need to update the GHG Protocol *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance*?
    - No (no update needed)
    - Minor update (limited updates, clarifications, additional guidance, or refresh needed)
    - Major update (major changes or revisions needed)
    - No opinion/Not sure

22. Please explain your selection. You may enter brief comments here or submit a more detailed proposal using the proposal template.

23. Should any scope 3 calculation methods be removed? (Each of the 15 scope 3 categories has specific methods. Examples of methods for scope 3, category 1 (Purchased goods and services) include the supplier-specific method, hybrid method, average-data method, and spend-based method). You may enter brief comments here or submit a more detailed proposal using the proposal template, including which scope 3 category or categories the comment or proposal pertains to.

24. Should any new scope 3 calculation methods be added? You may enter brief comments here or submit a more detailed proposal using the proposal template, including which scope 3 category or categories the comment pertains to.

25. Should any scope 3 calculation methods be changed? You may enter brief comments here or submit a more detailed proposal using the proposal template, including which scope 3 category or categories the comment pertains to.

26. Do you use the *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance* to quantify scope 3 emission reductions? Are there gaps or challenges in using the current *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance* to quantify scope 3 reductions? If there are gaps, what are your suggestions for improvements to enable more accurate and complete calculation of scope 3 emission reductions? You may enter brief comments here or submit a more detailed proposal using the proposal template, including which scope 3 category or categories the comment pertains to.

27. Are there underlined existing resources, tools, or databases developed by other organizations (which are in conformance with the *Scope 3 Standard*) that you would suggest that GHG Protocol references to support companies in applying the *Scope 3 Standard*?

28. Are there underlined new resources, tools, or databases that you think need to be developed to support companies in applying the *Scope 3 Standard*?

29. Do you have any other feedback or suggestions to improve and/or refine the *Scope 3 Calculation Guidance*?

## Questions for programs/policymakers

This section is intended for programs, initiatives, policymakers, or regulators using GHG Protocol standards

30. Are you applying the *Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard* in the context of your program? If so, please explain.

31. What is your experience applying the standard? Does your program implement all the requirements of the standard? If not, why not? Are there any gaps or problems you have faced in implementing the standard? Are changes to the standard and/or support on the use of the standard needed from a programmatic perspective?