



The Greenhouse Gas Protocol

Product Life Cycle Accounting and Reporting Standard

Comment Template

We are providing this template to streamline public comment submissions. To use this template, please follow the instructions below:

- The Product draft is open for stakeholder comment from November 11, 2009 through December 21, 2009.
- To provide written comments, please use the comment template provided, instead of sending comments in a separate file or e-mail, in order to streamline the comment process.
- When using the comment template, please organize comments by chapter/section and reference page numbers and line numbers.
- If you have questions during the public comment process, please email Holly Lahd at hlahd@wri.org.
- Submit comments as an attached MS Word file by email to Holly Lahd at hlahd@wri.org no later than Monday, December 21st, 2009. We appreciate any effort to submit written comments before the deadline.

Feedback from (name): Reiner Lemke

(DTAG contact person: reiner.lemke@telekom.de)

Hans-Juergen Gerhardy (DTAG expert)

Organization: Deutsche Telekom AG (DTAG)

Group Headquarters
Corporate Responsibility
Friedrich-Ebert-Allee 140
53113 Bonn / Germany

Chapter/Section	Comments
1. Introduction	 no substantial problem or inconsistence identified (we have positively realized an integration Stakeholder-attempt)
Principles of Product GHG Accounting	we agree with the methodology of accounting principles
Performing a Product GHG Inventory	 life cycle is acceptable to us and comprehensible we totally agree with the evaluation in this process





Establishing the Methodology	we agree with the methodology
5. Defining the Functional Unit	 we agree with the methodology which seems to be close to the methodology of the Product Carbon Footprint
6. Boundary Setting	 we agree with the methodology which seems to be close to the methodology of the Product Carbon Footprint; Cradle to Cradle attempt is taken into consideration
7. Collecting Data	 no substantial problem or inconsistence identified
8. Allocation	 seems to be the most critical part of the process; the reference to specific upcoming difficulties is well recognized
Assessing Data Quality & Uncertainty Analysis	no substantial problem or inconsistence identified
Calculating GHG Emissions	 there seems to be a focus on CO2 equivalents; what about the analysis of emissions of other gases?!
11. Assurance	the risk analysis is well recognized!
12. Reporting	no substantial problem or inconsistence identified
Appendix A: Data Management Plan	no substantial problem or inconsistence identified
Appendix B: Additional Guidance on Collecting and Calculating Data	no substantial problem or inconsistence identified
Appendix E: Glossary	no substantial problem or inconsistence identified
Any other general comments or feedback	 The development of Product Category Rules for the ICT-Industry seems to be absolutely necessary to us. We see also an opportunity to analyze cradle to cradle attempts by case studies

